	Case 1:22-cv-01410-JLT-SKO Docume	ent 53	Filed 09/22/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10		l			
11	MARQUISE LOUIS DRUMWRIGHT,		e No.: 1:22-cv-0141		
12	Plaintiff,	REC		IS TO DISMISS ACTION	
13	V.	FAI	LURE TO OBEY I	CE FOR PLAINTIFF'S LOCAL RULES AND	
14	C. HUCKLEBERRY, et al.,		LURE TO PROSE	CUTE	
15	Defendants.	(Do	c. 52)		
16	Marquisa Louis Drumyuright saaks te		ofandanta liabla for	violations of his sivil	
17	Marquise Louis Drumwright seeks to hold defendants liable for violations of his civil				
18	rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge				
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	The magistrate judge issued Findings and Recommendations regarding Plaintiff's failure				
21	to prosecute the action and failure to comply with the Court's Local Rules, specifically				
22	concerning Plaintiff's failure to keep the Court apprised of his current address. (Doc. 52.) The				
23	magistrate judge found terminating sanctions were appropriate after considering the factors				
24	identified by the Ninth Circuit in Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988). (Id. at 2-5.)				
25	Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court dismiss the action without prejudice for				
26	Plaintiff's failure to obey the Local Rules and failure to prosecute. (<i>Id.</i> at 5-6.)				
27	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that				
28	any objections were due within 14 days. (Do	oc. 52 at	6.) The Court advis	sed him that the "failure to	

1	file any objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of certain rights on appeal				
2	(Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file				
3	objections, and the time to do so has passed.				
4	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a de novo review of this case.				
5	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations				
6	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :				
7	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued September 2, 2025 (Doc. 52) are				
8	ADOPTED in full.				
9	2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to obey the Loca				
10	Rules and failure to prosecute.				
11	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.				
12					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
14	Dated: September 22, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
15	O WILD STITLES DISTINCT VODGE				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Case 1:22-cv-01410-JLT-SKO Document 53 Filed 09/22/25 Page 2 of 2